

JFK Assassination System
Identification Form

Date: 4/19/201

Agency Information

AGENCY: HSCA
RECORD NUMBER: 180-10145-10263
RECORD SERIES: CIA SEGREGATED COLLECTION
AGENCY FILE NUMBER: 54-07-03A

Document Information

ORIGINATOR: HSCA
FROM: CIA
TO:

TITLE:

DATE: 03/20/1978
PAGES: 23

SUBJECTS:

CIA, FILES
MEXICO CITY STATION
CIA, METHODOLOGY

DOCUMENT TYPE: NOTES
CLASSIFICATION: Unclassified
RESTRICTIONS: 1A; 1B
CURRENT STATUS: Redact
DATE OF LAST REVIEW: 01/01/2003

OPENING CRITERIA:

COMMENTS: Box 29

TRANSMITTAL AND DOCUMENT RECEIPT

XSCA

TO: M.H. Goldsmith
 Select Committee on Assassination
 House of Representatives
 Room 3381 House Annex # 2
 2nd & D Streets S.W. Wash.: D.C. 20515

FROM: Office of Legislative Counsel
 Central Intelligence Agency
 Room 7 D 35
 Washington, D.C. 20505
 27 April 1978

THE DOCUMENTS LISTED HEREON ARE FORWARDED FOR:

INFORMATION		ACTION	RETENTION	XXXX	LOAN	
CONTROL NUMBER	DOC. DATE	SUBJECT (Unclassified preferred)				CLASS
	20 Mar 78	Notes of Hardway & Goldsmith & Wolf Dillenger & Gestatner				SECRET
		CO00253-0000275				
		20 Mar 78-1546				

RECEIPT

SIGNATURE (acknowledging receipt of above documents)

DATE OF RECEIPT

DRM 37728
4-76

RETURN TO

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL
 CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
 ROOM 7 D 35
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505



- 1 - WHITE, RETURN COPY
- 2 - PINK, ADDRESSEE HOLD BACK
- 3 - CANARY, OLC HOLD BACK

Record copy

SECRET

KENNEDY

CLC RECORD COPY

INVESTIGATION INTERVIEW SCHEDULE

Identifying Information:

Mrs. BARBARA DILLINGER.

Name MR. Herbert Gestetner

Address _____

City/State _____

Date of Birth _____

Social Security _____

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Date 3/20/78

Place CIA/HQ

Telephone _____

(1) or S _____

Spouse _____

Children _____

Physical Description:

Height _____

Color Eyes _____ Hair _____

Weight _____

Special Characteristics _____

Ethnic Group _____

Personal History:

a. Present Employment: _____

Address _____

Telephone _____

b. Criminal Record

1. Arrests _____

2. Convictions _____

Additional Personal Information:

a. Relative(s): Name _____

Address _____

b. Area frequented: _____

c. Remarks: _____

Investigator DAN HADDIX, Mickey Goldsmith + Betsy
wolf.

Date 3/20/78

Form #4-B

SECRET

0000253

~~SECRET~~
Mickey Goldsmith opened the interview by explaining the release letter and the mandate of the House Select Committee on Assassinations to [Dillinger] and [Bergen] [Bergen]
[Bergen]

Mr [Bergen] said that he had been [the head of the Soviet Section] of the Mexico City Station in 1963. His main duty was to get information on Soviet personnel and their activities for recognition purposes and espionage / counter-espionage activities. In line with these goals Mr. [Bergen] was responsible for processing the product of [telephone] photographic and physical surveillance of the Soviet Embassy and Soviet personnel. Mr [Bergen] was also responsible for obtaining information through direct contacts with Soviet personnel and thorough agents who were in contact with Soviet personnel.

~~SECRET~~

0000254

1 Mrs [Dillenger's] main duty
was reading the transcript
[of the telephone tap operation]
She received these transcripts
once a day and would review
them. Anything of importance
or interest would be brought
to Mr. [Gestetner's] attention.

Both Mr [Gestetner] and
Mrs [Dillenger] remembered
that they received all the
transcripts [from all the
taps] on the Soviet Embassy.
This included the Spanish
and the English transcripts
although the English
were usually received later
than the Spanish ones.

Mrs [Dillenger] indicated that
she had a "working knowledge"
of Spanish.

Mr [Gestetner] was
responsible for reporting
the information he developed.
He indicated that a report
would either be written by
himself, Mr. [Dillenger] or a
[reports office].

0000255

SECRET

In 1963 Mrs [Dillenger] was working [on a part-time, contract basis] She indicated that the transcripts were read daily as a matter of routine. The photo production was not reviewed unless [redacted]

Employee [redacted] referred it to them.

Bestelmaier received ^{+ DIL-ENGER} carbon copies of the transcripts on yellow paper. Extracts from the transcripts were put into an appropriate subject or "P" files. Both were sure that a chronological file ~~of transcripts~~ was kept. They were less sure but thought that such a file was also kept on the production of the photo operation. Mrs [Dillenger] remembered that they did keep a subject file on Soviet contacts. Subject files were designated by a four part number, (ex: 00-00-0-0).

SECRET

0000256

Both Dillinger and Gestetner indicated that nothing was destroyed while Jim Scott was Chief of Station. They indicated that materials were probably destroyed after he left in 1969.

They received the transcripts from either [redacted] or [redacted] [redacted] Some of the transcripts were in Spanish and some were in English. Mr. Gestetner indicated that he had a close working relationship with [redacted] and relied on him for personality assessments on Soviet personnel. He said that he had nothing to do with getting the tapes and/or transcripts to or from [redacted] except in those rare instances when there was no one else to do it. Dillinger and Gestetner remembered that information [from the tapes] would usually

0000257

SECRET

- 5 -

have been reported within
a day or two of receipt
of the transcript.

They remembered that
the tape surveillance was
constant. They also
remembered that the
photo surveillance was
constant except for in-
stances where it would
be down for security
reasons or equipment
malfunction. They said
that the photo operation
attempted to get a picture
of every foreigner in
contact with the Embassy.
They said that the photog-
raphers had an "uncanny
ability" to pick out foreigners.

The transcripts that
they remembered receiving
were literal transcriptions.
They had never heard of
summaries of the conver-
sations being prepared.
Mr. [REDACTED] gave his

personality assessments orally.

0000258

or in writing separate
from the transcripts. Mr
Bentzen does not remember
marginal notations but
did indicate that [redacted]

[Agent] [redacted] had made the note
about Oswald speaking
broken Russian

[Dillinger and Bentzen]
indicated that they did,
on occasion, work closely
with [redacted] [redacted]
on things of interest. There
was not close contact
between the Cuban and
Soviet Operations.

[Dillinger and Bentzen]
indicated that they routinely
saw all the transcripts
from the Soviet operations.
They indicated that [redacted]
did not, as a rule, make
notations on the transcripts.
As a rule he made his
notations in a separate
note or report. Mr [Bentzen]
did indicate that [redacted]
did make the notation

0000259

- - -
SECRET

about Oswald's Russian.

He said that he had never ~~at~~ ordered [redacted] not to make marginal comments.

Mr. Gestetner said that

[Actor] [redacted] was an exact transcript, he never determined on his own as to whether something was relevant to counter-intelligence, counter-espionage, etc.

They indicated that every conversation was transcribed. They could not recall the notation "previously transcribed".

They said that the unilateral taps were not directed at the Soviet Embassy but at the private residences of Soviet officials.

Mr. Gestetner said that there were no penetration agents in either the Soviet Consulate or the Military Attaché's office.

Dillenger and Gestetner said that they had no [redacted]

SECRET

contact with anyone involved in the outside operation other than [redacted]

Agent [redacted]

These contacts were restricted to [redacted] meetings, discussion of personnel evaluations, and on rare occasions transmittal of the tapes or transcripts. Mr. Gestetner indicated that [redacted] involved

Agent [redacted]

more than any other translator and that he was more than adequately "competant, reliable and honest."

They both said that they knew Dave Phillips and that he was Chief of Covert Action at [redacted] time. They remembered that he had been replaced [redacted]

Agent [redacted]

as Chief of Cuban Operations but were unsure of the time. They described Phillips as an aggressive, active, successful person. They said that he

SECRET

0000261

SECRET

would have had access to everything about Oswald if he had been interested because he was close to ~~W~~indcott.

They said that [REDACTED] ~~Engage~~

[REDACTED] was professionally qualified for photography. He did not run the photo operation. His job was processing and printing the photos in the Embassy.

Dillenger and Lesterne said that Jack Whitten was the head of the Mexico desk and that [REDACTED] to the best of their recollection also worked on the Mexico desk. They said that the info they usually reported would go to CIS or SR. All info reported would have gone to the Mexico desk. The information, cable would not have gone higher unless there was some controversy or higher interest involved.

A report of a foreign visiting a foreign embassy would not

SECRET

0000262

SECRET

in Mr. Gestetner's opinion, flag higher interest or create the controversy necessary to attract higher attention. Mr. Gestetner indicated that such a report was almost mundanely routine, especially in late summer.

They said that [REDACTED]

Employee [REDACTED] was responsible for repairing and maintaining the audio equipment.

Employee [REDACTED] was an analyst in the Cuban section.

[REDACTED] was an outside agent involved in the recruitment and running of agents. He worked for Gestetner.

TEO [REDACTED] was part, as was [REDACTED] of the "click" that enjoyed Scott's absolute confidence and was involved in running the sensitive surveillance operations.

SECRET

0000263

SECRET

Employee

was also in this group. Bestchner thinks he may have seen the photo surveillance of the Secret compound.

Employee

saw the physical surveillance team.

Employee

was a technician involved in most of the operations, if not all of them.

Mr. Bestchner said that the only time he saw the actual reels of tape was when he was interested in hearing one of the conversations. He said that the tapes were not kept very long before re-use.

Agent

Mr. Bestchner said that he would routinely identify participants in a conversation if he could and would indicate the ID in the transcript. He does not recall ever instructing [redacted] not to make marginal comments.

SECRET

0000264

SECRET

In preparing reports, Dillenger & Kestetner said, other sources of info would be routinely checked due to their training as intelligence officers.

Kestetner and Dillenger were asked if they had any independent recollection of how Oswald first came to their attention. Dillenger indicated that her memory was that the first information that she had received about Oswald was that he was seeking a visa. Mr. Kestetner indicated that he had reviewed the file prior to our interview and his recollection was that the first notice that they had was from a transcript of Oswald's conversation with a guard on October 1st about a visa. They were then shown the October 1st transcript.

SECRET

0000265

SECRET

Dillenger did not recall this transcript as the first one she saw. After seeing the cable she sent to HQ on 10/8/41, she said that this transcript must have been the ~~original~~ because of the similarity of the info. When asked whether there was a reference to a visa in the conversation, she acknowledged that there was not such a reference. When asked to explain her memory she said that the reference to a telegram to Washington and the fact that he was talking to the consulate had made it obvious to her that he was seeking a visa.

Dillenger was asked what action she took after receiving the transcript. She said that she would have had already seen the prior transcripts and may have rechecked them. Because of the absence of

SECRET

CHH:AGC

SECRET

info from prior trans-
cripts in the cable
Bestelmers suggested that
some of the prior trans-
cripts may have been
unavailable because they
were being sorted around
the station. An examina-
tion of some of the prior
transcripts revealed routing
indications that Dillenger
acknowledged as written.
Dillenger had no recollection
of checking the photos. After
checking the station's files
for further info on Oswald,
she said, she wrote the
cable (Mex 8753) and, at the
same time, wrote a memo
to the legat. Dillenger acknow-
ledged that the routing notations
would have been written
on the transcript when
she first received them.

REPRODUCED BY SP5

Bestelmers acknowledged
that a filing instruction
directing one of the 9/27
conversations to the Oswald

SECRET

0000267

SECRET

"P" file was in his hand-writing. He indicated that this notation would have been made at the time that the "P" file was opened. Both ~~testimony~~ ^{REPRODUCED} Dillenger acknowledged that the connection between the conversations was noted prior to the assassination.

When Dillenger was asked about the paragraph in Mexi 645-3 about the photo she said that she must have checked the photo. ^{REPRODUCED} She was shown a photo of the M.M. and said she thought that that was the man she was trying to describe. She could not explain why a photo was chosen from October first but was sure, since Oswald had indicated previous visits in the intercepted conversation, that the photo production from earlier days would

SECRET

0000268

SECRET

also have been reviewed.

She also said that

~~Employee~~ [REDACTED] may have

asked this paragraph

The [REDACTED] were then shown the HQ response to Mex 645-3 (DIR 74830). They speculated that Scott had written "sic" after "Henry" to indicate that his name should be noted as "Lee. Henry Oswald" as well as "Lee Oswald". They said that they could tell by the nature of the notation that they were made when the cable was received and not after the assassination. They acknowledged that Scott had ordered the opening of a "P" file on the routing slip and that such a file would have been opened near the time of that instruction. Dillenger acknowledged writing the notation that the dissemination requested had been done.

0000269

SECRET

Dillinger said that the memo from Scott to the Ambassador dated 10/16/63 was the dissemination she had written. When asked how she had "determined" that ~~Mr.~~ Oswald had spoken to Kostikov she said that she could not remember but she was sure she must have rechecked it and had proof as otherwise she would not have used such certain language. When asked why they the memo said that there was no clarifying info on Oswald's "request" he/they said that it was clear that they had only been the one October transcript. When reminded that they had routinely seen all transcripts and had made filing and routing instructions on some of them prior to the date of this memo

SECRET

0000290

SECRET

they were unable to explain this assertion in the memo. Dillinger did say that "they had no need to know all those other details."

Both Dillinger and Gostetner said that it was very unlikely that this had been discussed with Dave Phillips.

Gostetner acknowledged sending Mexi 6534 requesting a photo of Oswald. He does not recall receiving a response to this cable. When asked whether he had ever heard that, among rumors to the effect that HQ had a photo of Oswald, Gostetner first answered in the positive. He then retracted his answer and denied ever knowing or hearing a rumor that HQ had had a photo. Gostetner said that if HQ had a photo of Oswald, taken by a tourist in Russia

SECRET

G000271

SECRET

and obtained by OCD that he would expect it to be in the 201 file. N.

Gestetner acknowledged writing Mex 7023. He explained giving the date of the photo as October 28 by saying that there can be errors. He noted that the inference was that the date was September 28.

Neither Gestetner nor Dillinger could recall specific details about the post-assassination review of the surveillance materials. They said that the review of the photos would have been done by [redacted] employee [redacted].

They were asked about the reference in Mex 7023 about the tape of the first conversation being repeated erased before the second one was received. They said that they would not find this unusual.

SECRET

0300272

SECRET

Gestetner said that he did not know whether the conversation of 9/28 was reported before or after ~~the~~ ^{when} he learned that this conversation had been connected to Oswald by the Mexicans.

Gestetner could not explain why Mexi 7033 ~~had~~ which he acknowledged writing said that all info available had been reported after the 9/27 conversations, the ~~visit~~ had not been reported. He can not explain why Mexi 7034, written by Allen White, says that the only known visit by LHO to an embassy was to the Cuban one on 9/28/63.

Gestetner ~~REPRODUCED~~ acknowledge that it ~~REPRODUCED~~ would have been very unusual for LHO to have visited the Embassies at least 5 times and not have been picked up by the photo

0000273

SECRET

operation

Gestetner continually reemphasized that HQ's visit + the report was a routine matter. He said that it was never considered as anything other than routine prior to the assassination. Hence, it was not surprising to him that HQ did not respond to his request for a photo.

Gestetner said that the nature of Oswald's visits to the Cuban and Soviet Embassy alone is enough to rule out the possibility of a foreign conspiracy involving either the USSR or Cuba.

When shown the "previously transcribed" notation in the 10/1 transcript Gestetner said that it meant they were in Spanish.

Mr. Gestetner said that it would be

SECRET

0000274

SECRET

2-2

Very unusual that
Karramessinger released
the 10/10 DIR. ~~10/10~~

As to the possibility
of ~~conspiracy~~ he said
that we could rule out
a foreign one. He assured
me that he was aware
of no "hanky-panky"
in Mexico. He said that
he had never seen
any indication of
Agency involvement
but he had never
heard of Karramessinger
involvement @ the 10/10
cable before.

Was asked them not
to discuss any of what
we had covered with
them with anyone
other than HSCA
staff members.

SECRET

0000275